

**HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : BENCH AT INDORE  
LARGER BENCH - I (Time 10:30 AM)**

**Daily Cause List dated : 01-09-2025  
BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIVEK RUSIA  
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIVEK AGARWAL  
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SUBODH ABHYANKAR  
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VINAY SARAF**

**Court Hall No.: 1**

**MOTION HEARING**

**[DIRECTION MATTERS]**

SN	Case No	Petitioner / Respondent	Petitioner/Respondent Advocate
1	WP 09623/2021	NITIN ENTERPRISES THROUGH NITIN LODWAL  Versus  URBAN ADMINISTRATION AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT <b>&amp; Ors.</b>	AMIT MISHRA AMIT AGRAWAL[AMICUS CURIAE], R S CHABRA[AMICUS CURIAE], VEER KUMAR JAIN[AMICUS CURIAE], A K SETHI[AMICUS CURIAE], PIYUSH MATHUR[AMICUS CURIAE], VAIBHAV JAIN[AMICUS CURIAE], RAHUL SETHI[AMICUS CURIAE], ARJUN AGRAWAL[AMICUS CURIAE], SHRI SHEKHAR SHARMA[AMICUS CURIAE]  ADVOCATE GENERAL MANOJ MUNSHI[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], LUCKY JAIN[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], ANKIT MORE[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], PRACHI JAIN[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], VIKRAM MALVIYA[R-3][R-4][R-5][R-6], VIVEK RANJAN PANDEY[INT], SHAMBHAVI SHRIVASTAVA[INT], SIDDHARTH SHARMA[R-4][R-5][R-6], SHANTANU SHARMA[R-4][R-5][R-6], PRANAY SHUKLA[R-4][R-5][R-6], KARN SINGH PARIHAR[R-4][R-5][R-6]
MERCHANTILE LAW-15000 - Contract Act, 1872-15002 - Contract Act, 1872-15002 Relief - TO SET ASIDE THE IMPUGNED ORDER {FIXED DATE (COURT ORDER) COVID-19} <b>[FOR CONSIDERING FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:- (I) WHETHER THE LOSS ARISING OUT OF BLACKLISTING ORDER CAN BE ASCERTAINABLE/ASCERTAINED AND HENCE FALLS WITHIN THE AMBIT OF SECTION 2(1)(D) OF THE ADHINIYAM. IF NOT, WHETHER THE FULL BENCH IN GOURI GANESH (SUPRA) AND DIVISION BENCH IN AWASTHI BROTHER CONSTRUCTION COMPANY (SUPRA) HAVE CORRECTLY OPINED THAT AGAINST BLACKLISTING ORDER ALSO CONTRACTOR HAS A REMEDY BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL CONSTITUTED UNDER THE ADHINIYAM ? (II) IF A COMPOSITE ORDER OF TERMINATION OF CONTRACT AND BLACKLISTING IS CALLED IN QUESTION IN A WRIT PETITION AND NO REMEDY IS AVAILABLE TO THE CONTRACTOR AGAINST THE BLACKLISTING ORDER BEFORE THE TRIBUNAL, WHETHER A WRIT PETITION AGAINST A COMPOSITE ORDER IS ENTERTAINABLE DESPITE AVAILABILITY OF REMEDY AGAINST THE TERMINATION OF WORKS CONTRACT UNDER THE ADHINIYAM ? FOR APPL. FOR RECALLING OF PROCEEDING DATED 14.09.21 ON IA 10161/2021] AND IA NO. 3933/2021 - APPLICATION FOR URGENT HEARING AND URGENT RELIEF DURING VACATION AND IA NO. 3934/2021 - URGENT HEARING APPLN. AND IA NO.7888/2025-APPLICATION SEEKING INTERVENTION/ PERMISSION TO ADDRESS/ SUBMIT WRITTEN SUBMISSION THROUGH ADVOCATES BEFORE 01-A PETITION FILED UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION</b>			
<b>ADJUSTMENT NOTE</b>			
		VEER KUMAR JAIN	28-08-2025 TO 05-09-2025
		VAIBHAV JAIN	28-08-2025 TO 05-09-2025

**TOTAL CASES : 1 (with connected matters)**

**REGISTRAR (IT)**